GL.



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,011	10/14/2003	Steve Mitchell	KLYCD-05010US1	4285
23910 75	90 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP			BONDERER, DAVID A	
FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CO, CA 94111		3732	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			W			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/685,011	MITCHELL, STEV	MITCHELL, STEVE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	D. Austin Bonderer	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n tne correspondence ad	Jaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  "HS from the mailing date of this of this of this of this of this of the constant of t	aly. communication.			
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2003.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to a  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s)	<b>∆</b> □	Umman//DTO 4423				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-22-04.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT 	°O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/685,011

Art Unit: 3732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 16-32, 35-52, 55-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Buttner-Janz et al. ('296) (Janz).

Janz discloses a spinal implant comprising:

- A first part 1;
- A second part 2;
- And third part 3;
- The third part is curved and the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> parts form a socket to hold the third part;
- Teeth to engage the opposite vertebrae; and
- Wherein the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> parts have different configurations.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 5,6, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 33, 34, 53, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janz in view of Eisermann et al.

Art Unit: 3732

Janz uses teeth in a semicircular pattern. Eisermann teaches the use of a keel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the teeth of Janz in a keel shape as taught by Eisermann in order to better secure the implant.

5. Claims 83-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janz in view of Bryan et al.

Janz lacks the use of polyethers. Bryan teaches the use of polyethers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Janz with a polyester material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended us as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Link et al., Buttner-Janz, and Marne et al. disclose relevant art to the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dab

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700